COLORADO DISCHARGE PERMIT SYSTEM (CDPS) FACT SHEET FOR PERMIT NUMBER CO0021687 TOWN OF MANCOS TOWN OF MANCOS, TOWN OF MANCOS MONTEZUMA COUNTY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. TYPE OF PERMIT	1
II. FACILITY INFORMATION	1
III. RECEIVING STREAM	2
IV. FACILITY DESCRIPTION	2
V. PERFORMANCE HISTORY	3
VI. DISCUSSION OF EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS	4
VII. ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS	8
VIII. REFERENCES	10
IX. PUBLIC NOTICE COMMENTS	12

I. TYPE OF PERMIT

A. Permit Type: Domestic - Minor Municipal, Mechanical Plant, Sixth Renewal

B. Discharge To: Surface Water

II. FACILITY INFORMATION

A. SIC Code: 4952 Sewerage Systems

B. Facility Classification: Class B per Section 100.5.2 of the Water and Wastewater Facility

Operator Certification Requirements

C. Facility Location: in the SE 1/4 of the SE 1/4 of S29, T36N, R13W; 741 1/2 Riverside Ave.

Mancos, CO 81328; at 37.3428° latitude North and 108.301416° longitude

West

D. Permitted Feature: 001A, following disinfection and prior to mixing with the receiving

stream. 37.341583° N, 108.3021667° W

The location(s) provided above will serve as the point(s) of compliance for

this permit and are appropriate as they are located after all treatment and

prior to discharge to the receiving water.

E. Facility Flows: 0.2 MGD

F. Major Changes From Last Renewal:

The ammonia limitations become slightly more stringent. No other major changes have been made to the permit.

III. RECEIVING STREAM

A. Waterbody Identification: COSJLP05a, The Mancos River

B. Water Quality Assessment:

An assessment of the stream standards, low flow data, and ambient stream data has been performed to determine the assimilative capacities for the *Mancos River* for potential pollutants of concern. This information, which is contained in the Water Quality Assessment (WQA) for this receiving stream(s), also includes an antidegradation review, where appropriate. The Division's Permits Section has reviewed the assimilative capacities to determine the appropriate water quality-based effluent limitations as well as potential limits based on the antidegradation evaluation, where applicable. The limitations based on the assessment and other evaluations conducted as part of this fact sheet can be found in Part I.A of the permit.

Permitted Feature 001A will continue to be the authorized discharge point to the receiving stream.

IV. FACILITY DESCRIPTION

A. Infiltration/Inflow (I/I)

No infiltration/inflow problems have been documented in the service area.

B. Lift Stations

There are no lift stations in the service area.

C. Chemical Usage

The permittee did not specify any chemicals for use in waters that may be discharged. On this basis, no chemicals are approved under this permit. Prior to use of any applicable chemical, the permittee must submit a request for approval that includes the most current Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) for that chemical. Until approved, use of any chemical in waters that may be discharged could result in a discharge of pollutants not authorized under the permit. Also see Part II.A.1. of the permit.

D. Treatment Facility, Facility Modifications and Capacities

The facility consists of influent lift station, flow metering, fine screening, grit removal, Aquarius Technologies MSABP (multi-stage activated biological process) treatment tanks, AquaDisk cloth textile filtration with associated backwash pond and an ultraviolet (UV) disinfection. The permittee has not performed any construction at this facility that would change the hydraulic capacity of 0.2 MGD or the

organic capacity of 584 lbs BOD₅/day, which were specified in Site Approval 5088. That document should be referred to for any additional information.

Pursuant to Section 100.5.2 of the <u>Water and Wastewater Facility Operator Certification Requirements</u>, this facility will require a Class B certified operator.

E. Biosolids Treatment and Disposal

Biosolids are treated in an aerobic digester. Liquid is removed in a centrifuge, then the biosolids are applied to on-site drying beds.

1. EPA General Permit

EPA Region 8 issued a General Permit (effective October 19, 2007) for Colorado facilities whose operations generate, treat, and/or use/dispose of sewage sludge by means of land application, landfill, and surface disposal under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System. All Colorado facilities are required to apply for and to obtain coverage under the EPA General Permit.

2. Biosolids Regulation (Regulation No. 64, Colorado Water Quality Control Commission)

While the EPA is now the issuing agency for biosolids permits, Colorado facilities that land apply biosolids must comply with requirements of Regulation No. 64, such as the submission of annual reports as discussed later in this rationale.

V. PERFORMANCE HISTORY

A. Monitoring Data

1. <u>Discharge Monitoring Reports</u> – The following tables summarize the effluent data reported on the Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) for the previous permit term, from September 30, 2007 through September 30, 2012.

B. Compliance With Terms and Conditions of Previous Permit

1. <u>Effluent Limitations</u> – The data shown in the preceding table(s) indicates compliance with the numeric limitations of the previous permit except for one ammonia incursion on 7/31/2012 and a few E. coli incursions. Note that communication with the facility lead to a conclusion that the facility will be able to meet the ammonia limitations since the facility representative said the recent measurements are at around 2 mg/l or below. With the UV disinfection the Division believes that E, coli will be controlled effectively.

In accordance with 40 CFR Part 122.41(a), any permit noncompliance constitutes a violation of the Clean Water Act and is grounds for enforcement action; for permit termination, revocation and reissuance, or modification; or denial of a permit renewal application.

Table V-1 - Summary of DMR Data for Permitted Feature 001A

Parameter	# Samples Reported Average or Concentrations Reporting Avg/Min/Max Periods		Reported Maximum Concentrations Avg/Min/Max	Previous Avg/Max/AD Permit Limit	Number of Limit Excursions
Influent Flow (MGD)	60	0.079/0.068/0.088	0.099/0.083/0.2	Report/Report	
Effluent Flow (MGD)	60	0.072/0.059/0.089	0.097/0.075/0.16	0.2/Report	
pH (su)	60	7.1/6.5/7.6	7.6/6.9/8.4	NA - 6.5-9.0	
E. coli (#/100 ml)	58	25/1/2419	53/1/2419	1728/4000 and 673/1346	6/3
TRC (mg/l)	54	0.033/0.005/0.07	0.058/0.01/0.08	0.064/0.086	
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l)	53	18/0.12/50	18/0.12/50	NA/NA	
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Jan	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	25/NA	These limits
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Feb	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	26/NA	became
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Mar	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	25/NA	effective on
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Apr	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	18/NA	5/1/12 and there would
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) May	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	23/NA	be only 3
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Jun	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	28/NA	DMR
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Jul	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	25/NA	submittal for
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Aug	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	22/NA	these and
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Sep	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	21/NA	therefore
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Oct	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	31/NA	provided
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Nov	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	27/NA	only for informational purposes
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Dec	0	NA/NA/NA	NA/NA/NA	27/NA	
BOD5 (mg/l)	60	21/2/74	22/2/74	30/45/	
BOD5, influent (mg/l)	60	306/160/490	306/160/490	NA/NA/	
BOD5, influent (lbs/day)	59	198/107/306	211/135/318	NA/NA/	
BOD5 (% removal)	60	93/75/99	NA/NA/NA	85/NA/	
TSS (mg/l)	60	26/10/71	27/10/100	30/45/	
TSS, influent (mg/l)	60	234/100/480	234/100/480	NA/NA/	
TSS (% removal)	4*	92/90/97	NA/NA/NA	85/NA/	
Oil and Grease (mg/l)	60	NA/NA/NA	0/0/0	NA/10/	
TDS (mg/l)		//	//	NA/NA/	
PWS intake (mg/l)	38	180/100/910	NA/NA/NA	Report/NA/	
WWTF effluent (mg/l)	38	304/230/830	NA/NA/NA	Report/NA/	

^{*}the old facility was a lagoon system with no TSS removal

VI. DISCUSSION OF EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS

A. Regulatory Basis for Limitations

1. Technology Based Limitations

- a. <u>Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines</u> The Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines for domestic wastewater treatment facilities are the secondary treatment standards. These standards have been adopted into, and are applied out of, Regulation 62, the Regulations for Effluent Limitations.
- b. <u>Regulation 62: Regulations for Effluent Limitations</u> These Regulations include effluent limitations that apply to all discharges of wastewater to State waters and are shown in Section VIII of the WQA. These regulations are applicable to the discharge from the Town of Mancos WWTF.
- 2. Numeric Water Quality Standards The WQA contains the evaluation of pollutants limited by water quality standards. The mass balance equation shown in Section VI of the WQA was used for most pollutants to calculate the potential water quality based effluent limitations (WQBELs), M2, that could be discharged without causing the water quality standard to be violated. For ammonia, the AMMTOX Model was used to determine the maximum assimilative capacity of the receiving stream. A detailed discussion of the calculations for the maximum allowable concentrations for the relevant parameters of concern is provided in Section V of the Water Quality Assessment developed for this permitting action.

The maximum allowable effluent pollutant concentrations determined as part of these calculations represent the calculated effluent limits that would be protective of water quality. These are also known as the water quality-based effluent limits (WQBELs). Both acute and chronic WQBELs may be calculated based on acute and chronic standards, and these may be applied as daily maximum (acute) or 30-day average (chronic) limits.

- 3. Narrative Water Quality Standards Section 31.11(1)(a)(iv) of The Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Waters (Regulation No. 31) includes the narrative standard that State surface waters shall be free of substances that are harmful to the beneficial uses or toxic to humans, animals, plants, or aquatic life.
 - a. Whole Effluent Toxicity The Water Quality Control Division has established the use of WET testing as a method for identifying and controlling toxic discharges from wastewater treatment facilities. WET testing is being utilized as a means to ensure that there are no discharges of pollutants "in amounts, concentrations or combinations which are harmful to the beneficial uses or toxic to humans, animals, plants, or aquatic life" as required by Section 31.11 (1) of the Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Waters. The requirements for WET testing are being implemented in accordance with Division policy, Implementation of the Narrative Standard for Toxicity in Discharge Permits Using Whole Effluent Toxicity (Sept 30, 2010). Note that this policy has recently been updated and the permittee should refer to this document for additional information regarding WET.
- 4. Water Quality Regulations, Policies, and Guidance Documents
 - a. Antidegradation Since the receiving water is Undesignated, an antidegradation review is required pursuant to Section 31.8 of <u>The Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water</u>. As set forth in Section VII of the WQA, an antidegradation evaluation was conducted for pollutants when water quality impacts occurred and when the impacts were significant. Based on the antidegradation requirements and the reasonable potential analysis discussed above, antidegradation-based average concentrations (ADBACs) may be applied.

According to Division procedures, the facility has three options related to antidegradation-based effluent limits: (1) the facility may accept ADBACs as permit limits (see Section VII of the WQA); (2) the facility may select permit limits based on their non-impact limit (NIL), which would result in the facility not being subject to an antidegradation review and thus the antidegradation-based average concentrations would not apply (the NILs are also contained in Section VII of the WQA); or (3) the facility may complete an alternatives analysis as set forth in Section 31.8(3)(d) of the regulations which would result in alternative antidegradation-based effluent limitations.

The effluent must not cause or contribute to an exceedance of a water quality standard and therefore the WQBEL must be selected if it is lower than the NIL. Where the WQBEL is not the most restrictive, the discharger may choose between the NIL or the ADBAC: the NIL results in no increased water quality impact; the ADBAC results in an "insignificant" increase in water quality impact. The ADBAC limits are imposed as two-year average limits.

- b. <u>Antibacksliding</u> As the receiving water is designated Reviewable or Outstanding, and the Division has performed an antidegradation evaluation, in accordance with the Antidegradation Guidance, the antibacksliding requirements in Regulation 61.10 have been met.
- c. <u>Determination of Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)</u> This stream segment is not on the State's 303(d) list, and therefore TMDLs do not apply.
- d. Colorado Mixing Zone Regulations Pursuant to section 31.10 of The Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water, a mixing zone determination is required for this permitting action. The Colorado Mixing Zone Implementation Guidance, dated April 2002, identifies the process for determining the meaningful limit on the area impacted by a discharge to surface water where standards may be exceeded (i.e., regulatory mixing zone). This guidance document provides for certain exclusions from further analysis under the regulation, based on site-specific conditions.

The guidance document provides a mandatory, stepwise decision-making process for determining if the permit limits will not be affected by this regulation. Exclusion, based on Extreme Mixing Ratios, may be granted if the ratio of the facility design flow to the chronic low flow (30E3) is greater than 2:1 or if the ratio of the chronic low flow to the design flow is greater than 20:1. The ratio of the chronic low flow to the design flow is 6.8:1 which requires performing a mixing zone study. The facility conducted a mixing zone study in 2011 and excluded from the mixing zone table and therefore no mixing zone study will be needed for this renewal.

e. <u>Salinity Regulations</u> – In compliance with the <u>Colorado River Salinity Standards</u> and the <u>Colorado Discharge Permit System Regulations</u>, the permittee shall monitor for total dissolved solids on a **Quarterly** basis. Samples shall be taken at Permitted Feature 001A.

An evaluation of the discharge of total dissolved solids indicates that the Town of Mancos facility does not exceed the threshold of 1 ton/day or 350 tons/year of salinity. To determine the TDS loading from this facility, the average reported TDS values were multiplied by the average flow, then by 8.34. The average was determined to be 0.091 tons/day.

Table VI-1 – Reasonable Potential Analysis

Table VI-1 – Reasonable Po	oundar A	marysis				
Pollutant	Maximum of 30-Day Avg Effluent Conc. Or MEPC	30-Day Avg Proposed WQBEL	30-Day Avg RP	Maximum of Daily Max or 7- Day Avg Effluent Conc. Or MEPC	Daily Max or 7-Day Avg Proposed WQBEL	Daily Max RP
Temp Daily Max (°C) April-						
Oct				NA	23.9	Monitor
Temp Daily Max (°C) Nov- March				NA	13	Monitor
Temp MWAT (°C) April-Oct	NA	18.3	Monitor			
Temp MWAT (°C) Nov-March	NA	9	Monitor			
E. coli (#/100 ml)	7597**	891	Yes	14280	1782	Yes
E. coli (#/100 ml)	7597**	1800	Yes	14280	9620	Yes (Qual)
TRC (mg/l)	0.11	0.081	Yes	0.083	0.086	Yes (Qual)
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Jan	86*	18.5	Yes (Qual)	86	27	Yes (Qual)
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Feb	86	18.9	Yes (Qual)	86	29	Yes (Qual)
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Mar	86	19	Yes (Qual)	86	31	Yes (Qual)
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Apr	86	14	Yes (Qual)	86	35	Yes (Qual)
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) May	86	14.7	Yes (Qual)	86	47	Yes (Qual)
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Jun	86	16	Yes (Qual)	86	46	Yes (Qual)
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Jul	86	14.4	Yes (Qual)	86	48	Yes (Qual)
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Aug	86	24	Yes (Qual)	86	75	Yes (Qual)
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Sep	86	26.5	Yes (Qual)	86	77	Yes (Qual)
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Oct	86	42	Yes (Qual)	86	62	Yes (Qual)
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Nov	86	23	Yes (Qual)	86	33	Yes (Qual)
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l) Dec	86	18.4	Yes (Qual)	86	25.5	Yes (Qual)

^{*}MEPC for ammonia (all months); ** the Division did not conduct seasonal MEPC calculation since seasonal RP analysis for this parameter would result in a quantitative RP

B. Parameter Evaluation

 $\underline{BOD_5}$ - The BOD_5 concentrations in Reg 62 are the most stringent effluent limits and are therefore applied. These limitations are the same as those contained in the previous permit and are imposed upon the effective date of this permit.

<u>Total Suspended Solids</u> - The TSS concentrations in Reg 62 are the most stringent effluent limits and are therefore applied. These limitations are the same as those contained in the previous permit and are imposed upon the effective date of this permit.

<u>Oil and Grease</u> –The oil and grease limitations from the <u>Regulations for Effluent Limitations</u> are applied as they are the most stringent limitations. This limitation is the same as those contained in the previous permit and is imposed upon the effective date of this permit.

<u>pH</u> - This parameter is limited by the water quality standards of 6.5-9.0 s.u., as this range is more

stringent than other applicable standards. This limitation is the same as that contained in the previous permit and is imposed upon the effective date of this permit.

<u>E. Coli</u> – The limitation for E. Coli is based upon the WQBEL/NIL as described in the WQA. A qualitative determination of RP has been made as the treatment facility has been designed to treat specifically for this parameter.

Previous monitoring as shown in Table V-1 indicates that this limitation cannot be met consistently. However, the division expects that the limitations should be met since they are slightly less stringent than those in the recent permit modification.

<u>Total Residual Chlorine (TRC)</u> - The limitation for TRC is based upon the NIL as described in the WQA. A qualitative determination of RP has been made as chlorine may be used in the treatment process. Previous monitoring as shown in Table V-1 indicates that this limitation can be met and is therefore imposed upon the effective date of the permit.

<u>Ammonia</u> - The limitation for ammonia is based upon the WQBEL as described in the WQA. A qualitative determination of RP has been made as the treatment facility has been designed to treat specifically for this parameter. Also, facility representative indicated that recent ammonia measurements were about 2 mg/l or less and therefore, limitations will be imposed immediately.

<u>Temperature</u>- The MWAT is the maximum weekly average temperature, as determined by a seven day rolling average, using at least 3 equally spaced temperature readings in a 24-hour day (at least every 8 hours for a total of at least 21 data points).

The daily maximum is defined as the maximum 2 hour average, with a minimum of 12 equally spaced measurements throughout the day. As both of these temperature requirements will likely require the use of automated temperature measurements and recordings, the permittee is given until January 1, 2013 in a recent permit modification, to have the proper equipment in place to take the required readings. This date will be enforced.

<u>Organics</u> – The effluent is not expected or known to contain organic chemicals, and therefore, limitations for organic chemicals are not needed in this permit.

Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing – This is a domestic minor facility receiving no significant industrial/commercial discharge and therefore no metals are expected in the discharge. The ammonia is control with aquatic life based limitations no toxicity from ammonia is expected. Therefore, no WET testing will be required.

VII. ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

A. Monitoring

<u>Effluent Monitoring</u> – Effluent monitoring will be required as shown in the permit document. Refer to the permit for locations of monitoring points. Monitoring requirements have been established in accordance with the frequencies and sample types set forth in the <u>Baseline Monitoring Frequency</u>. Sample Type, and Reduced Monitoring Frequency Policy for Industrial and Domestic Wastewater <u>Treatment Facilities</u>. This policy includes the methods for reduced monitoring frequencies based upon facility compliance as well as for considerations given in exchange for instream monitoring programs

initiated by the permittee. Table VI-2 shows the results of the reduced monitoring frequency analysis for Permitted Feature 001A, based upon compliance with the previous permit.

Table VI-2 – Monitoring Reduction Evaluation

Parameter	Proposed Permit Limit	Average of 30- Day (or Daily Max) Average Conc.	Standard Deviation	Long Term Characterization (LTC)	Reduction Potential	
pH (su) Minimum	min 6.5	7	0.28	6.44	None	
pH (su) Maximum	max 9.0	7.5	0.28	8.06	rvone	
E. coli (#/100 ml)	1800	13	447	907	2 Levels	
TRC (mg/l)	0.081	0.043	0.016	0.075	1 Level	
NH3 as N, Tot (mg/l)	14	16	10	36	None	
BOD5, effluent (mg/l)	30	17	8.7	34.4	None	
TSS, effluent (mg/l)	30	24	14	52	None	
Oil and Grease (mg/l)	10	0	0	0	3 Levels	

B. Reporting

- 1. <u>Discharge Monitoring Report</u> The Town of Mancos facility must submit Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) on a monthly basis to the Division. These reports should contain the required summarization of the test results for all parameters and monitoring frequencies shown in Part I.B of the permit. See the permit, Part I.B, C, D and/or E for details on such submission.
- 2. <u>Special Reports</u> Special reports are required in the event of an upset, bypass, or other noncompliance. Please refer to Part II.A. of the permit for reporting requirements. As above, submittal of these reports to the US Environmental Protection Agency Region VIII is no longer required.

C. Signatory and Certification Requirements

Signatory and certification requirements for reports and submittals are discussed in Part I.E.6. of the permit.

D. Compliance Schedules

The following compliance schedules are included in the permit. See Part I.B of the permit for more information.

A compliance schedule for temperature has been provided as it was included in the recent permit renewal until 12/31/2012.

All information and written reports required by the following compliance schedules should be directed to the Permits Section for final review unless otherwise stated.

E. Economic Reasonableness Evaluation

Section 25-8-503(8) of the revised (June 1985) Colorado Water Quality Control Act required the

Division to "determine whether or not any or all of the water quality standard based effluent limitations are reasonably related to the economic, environmental, public health and energy impacts to the public and affected persons, and are in furtherance of the policies set forth in sections 25-8-192 and 25-8-104."

The <u>Colorado Discharge Permit System Regulations</u>, Regulation No. 61, further define this requirement under 61.11 and state: "Where economic, environmental, public health and energy impacts to the public and affected persons have been considered in the classifications and standards setting process, permits written to meet the standards may be presumed to have taken into consideration economic factors unless:

- a. A new permit is issued where the discharge was not in existence at the time of the classification and standards rulemaking, or
- b. In the case of a continuing discharge, additional information or factors have emerged that were not anticipated or considered at the time of the classification and standards rulemaking."

The evaluation for this permit shows that the Water Quality Control Commission, during their proceedings to adopt the <u>Classifications and Numeric Standards for San Juan River and Dolores River</u> Basins, considered economic reasonableness.

Furthermore, this is not a new discharger and no new information has been presented regarding the classifications and standards. Therefore, the water quality standard-based effluent limitations of this permit are determined to be reasonably related to the economic, environmental, public health and energy impacts to the public and affected persons and are in furtherance of the policies set forth in Sections 25-8-102 and 104. If the permittee disagrees with this finding, pursuant to 61.11(b)(ii) of the Colorado Discharge Permit System Regulations, the permittee should submit all pertinent information to the Division during the public notice period.

Kenan Diker October 9, 2012

VIII. REFERENCES

- A. Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division Files, for Permit Number CO0021687.
- B. "Design Criteria Considered in the Review of Wastewater Treatment Facilities", Policy 96-1, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, April 2007.
- C. <u>Basic Standards and Methodologies for Surface Water, Regulation No. 31</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective January 1, 2012.
- D. Classifications and Numeric Standards for San Juan River and Dolores River Basins, Regulation No. 34, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective Upcoming.
- E. <u>Colorado Discharge Permit System Regulations, Regulation No. 61</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective January 30, 2012.
- F. <u>Regulations for Effluent Limitations, Regulation No. 62</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective July 30, 2012.

- G. <u>Pretreatment Regulations, Regulation No. 63</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective April 01, 2007.
- H. <u>Biosolids Regulation, Regulation No. 64</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective March 30, 2010.
- I. <u>Colorado River Salinity Standards, Regulation No. 39</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective August 30, 1997.
- J. Section 303(d) List of Water Quality Limited Segments Requiring TMDLs, Regulation No 93, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective April 30, 2012.
- K. <u>Antidegradation Significance Determination for New or Increased Water Quality Impacts, Procedural Guidance</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division, effective December 2001.
- L. <u>Memorandum Re: First Update to (Antidegradation) Guidance Version 1.0,</u> Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division, effective April 23, 2002.
- M. <u>Determination of the Requirement to Include Water Quality Standards-Based Limits in CDPS Permits Based on Reasonable Potential</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division, effective December 2002.
- N. <u>The Colorado Mixing Zone Implementation Guidance</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division, effective April 2002.
- O. <u>Baseline Monitoring Frequency, Sample Type, and Reduced Monitoring Frequency Policy for Domestic and Industrial Wastewater Treatment Facilities,</u> Water Quality Control Division Policy WQP-20, May 1, 2007.
- P. <u>Implementing Narrative Standards in Discharge Permits for the Protection of Irrigated Crops,</u> Water Quality Control Division Policy WQP-24, March 10, 2008.
- Q. <u>Implementing Narrative Standard for Toxicity in Discharge Permits Using Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) Testing.</u> Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division Policy Permits-1, September 30, 2010.
- R. <u>Policy for Conducting Assessments for Implementation of Temperature Standards in Discharge</u>
 <u>Permits</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division,
 Policy Number WQP-23, effective July 3, 2008.
- S. <u>Policy for Permit Compliance Schedules</u>, Colorado Department Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Division Policy Number WQP-30, effective December 2, 2010.
- T. <u>Procedural Regulations for Site Applications for Domestic Wastewater Treatment Works, Regulation No. 22</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective September 30, 2009.

- U. <u>Regulation Controlling discharges to Storm Sewers, Regulation No. 65</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective May 30, 2008.
- V. <u>Water and Wastewater Facility Operator Certification Requirements, Regulation No. 100</u>, Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment, Water Quality Control Commission, effective September 30, 2007.

Kenan Diker October 2, 2012

IX. PUBLIC NOTICE COMMENTS

The public notice period was from October 19, 2012 to November 19, 2012. No comments were received during the public notice period.

Kenan Diker November 19, 2012